HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No. 15601 of 2007

DATED: 23-07-2007

Between:

P.Gangarajam

…Petitioner

and

The Andhra Pradesh Residential
Educational Institutions'Society, rep. by its
Secretary, Hyderabad and another

…Respondents.

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

W.P.No. 15601 of 2007

ORAL ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner seeking a direction to the respondents to consider and appoint him as Class IV employee, as the petitioner already worked on contract basis.

The petitioner stated that he was appointed as Class IV employee in the 2nd respondent school during 2004 vide orders dated 2-12-2004 and was continued up to March 2006 after undergoing process of selection and interview. Though the petitioner was fully eligible and qualified to be appointed on regular basis, the petitioner was appointed on contract basis on payment of an amount of Rs.2,500/- per month. Questioning the said process of appointment, the petitioner and others approached this Court vide W.P.No.12071 of 2006. This Court by an order dated 14-9-2006 disposed of the said writ petition with the following directions:

> "As much as petitioners 2 and 3 have already been appointed on contract basis, I dispose of the writ petition, with limited directions, permitting the first petitioner to make a representation to the respondents to consider his case for appointment on contract basis, within a period of two weeks from today. On such representation being made, the respondents shall consider his case for appointment on contract basis for the current academic year on the same terms and conditions on which petitioners 2 and 3 were appointed."

As per the directions of this Court, the petitioner could not file his

representation as he met with a major accident on 25-9-2006 and he was hospitalized. After recovery, the petitioner submitted a representation on 8-12-2006. But the respondents did not take any action on the said representation. Now the petitioner has become handicapped due to fracture and the respondents are not appointing him as an Attender.

Heard both sides. Both the counsel agreed for the disposal of the writ petition at the admission stage, with a direction for expeditious disposal of the representation of the petitioner.

Since the representation of the petitioner dated 8-12-2006 is pending consideration before the 1st respondent and in view of the agreed stand taken by both the parties, without going into the merits of the matter, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with the following directions:

The 1st respondent is directed to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner, dated 8-12-2006 in accordance with law, expeditiously. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

23-07-2007
GRR